



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/423,179	11/02/1999	DIETER ZWEIGLE	K-53885	6456	
75	90 05/19/2003				
M ROBERT KESTENBAUM			EXAM	EXAMINER	
	DA DUNES NE UE, NM 87111		K-53885 6456 EXAMINER GARLAND, STEVEN R	STEVEN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2125	10	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	B
Advisory Action	09/423,179	ZWEIGLE, DIETER	
,, ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven R Garland	2125	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addres	s
THE REPLY FILED 08 May 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is requir final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be expendition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	ed to avoid abandonment of this ither: (1) a timely filed amendmer f Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to	o a n in
PERIOD I	FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the ma			
 b)	ly expire later than SIX MONTHS from th PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH	e mailing date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. Sec	e MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received be timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	e period of extension and the correspond n date of the shortened statutory period f y the Office later than three months after	ling amount of the fee. The appropri or reply originally set in the final Officers	iate extension
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08 May 200</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof	 Appellant's Brief must be filed (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dism 	within the period set forth in issal of the appeal.	
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be en	tered because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would requi	re further consideration and/or se	earch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see		,	
(c) they are not deemed to place the appli issues for appeal; and/or	cation in better form for appeal by	y materially reducing or simpl	ifying the
(d) they present additional claims without	canceling a corresponding numb	per of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	g rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	_ would be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed am	endment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) req application in condition for allowance because	uest for reconsideration has beer use:	n considered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be consideral raised by the Examiner in the final rejection	red because it is not directed SOI	LELY to issues which were ne	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed ame explanation of how the new or amended cl	ndment(s) a)⊠ will not be entere	ed or b) will be entered and ed below or appended.	an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as f	ollows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-34</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	.		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is a)☐ approved or b)☐ ∈	disapproved by the Examiner	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure S		•	
10. Other:		_	
		MILL W POLOU 5	
5P-b		PRIMARY EXAMINER	
STEVEN GARLAND			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: For example further consideration and/or search would be required for the new limitations of proposed claim 3 in regards to input of one or more woven fabrics, computing and representing an actual fabric on the basis of the yarn diameters and the freely definable woven fabrics, and changing the actual woven fabrics so that it is adapted to the measured individual yarn diameters and the inputted woven fabrics. Similar comments apply to claim 18 and its dependent claims. Additionally it appears that consideration would have to be given to a 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph rejection of claim 34 as lacking antecedent basis for "the freely definable woven fabrics".